Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# **Theoretical Computer Science**

www.elsevier.com/locate/tcs



# Graph orientation with splits \*

Yuichi Asahiro<sup>a,\*</sup>, Jesper Jansson<sup>b</sup>, Eiji Miyano<sup>c</sup>, Hesam Nikpey<sup>d</sup>, Hirotaka Ono<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Information Science, Kyushu Sangyo University, Fukuoka, Japan

<sup>b</sup> Department of Computing, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong

<sup>c</sup> Department of Artificial Intelligence, Kyushu Institute of Technology, Iizuka, Japan

<sup>d</sup> Department of Computer Engineering, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

<sup>e</sup> Graduate School of Informatics, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 July 2019 Received in revised form 12 June 2020 Accepted 12 July 2020 Available online 16 July 2020 Communicated by D.-Z. Du

#### Keywords: Graph orientation Maximum flow Vertex cover Partition Algorithm Computational complexity

# 1. Introduction

#### ABSTRACT

The *Minimum Maximum Outdegree Problem* (MMO) is to assign a direction to every edge in an input undirected, edge-weighted graph so that the maximum weighted outdegree taken over all vertices becomes as small as possible. In this paper, we introduce a new variant of MMO called the *p-Split Minimum Maximum Outdegree Problem* (*p-Split-MMO*) in which one is allowed to perform a sequence of *p* split operations on the vertices before orienting the edges, for some specified non-negative integer *p*, and study its computational complexity. © 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

An orientation of an undirected graph is an assignment of a direction to each of its edges. The computational complexity of constructing graph orientations that optimize various criteria has been studied, e.g., in [2–5,7,8,10,12,15,17], and positive as well as negative results are known for many variants of these problems.

For example, the *Minimum Maximum Outdegree Problem* (MMO) [5,8–10,17] takes as input an undirected, edge-weighted graph G = (V, E, w), where V, E, and w denote the set of vertices of G, the set of edges of G, and an edge-weight function  $w : E \to \mathbb{Z}^+$ , respectively, and asks for an orientation of G that minimizes the resulting maximum weighted outdegree taken over all vertices in the oriented graph. In general, MMO is strongly NP-hard and cannot be approximated within a ratio of 3/2 unless P = NP [5]. However, in the special case where all edges have weight 1, MMO can be solved exactly in polynomial time [17]. MMO has applications to load balancing, resource allocation, and data structures for fast vertex adjacency queries in sparse graphs [9,10] based on the technique of placing each edge in the adjacency list of exactly one of its two incident vertices. For example, if G is a planar graph then G admits an orientation in which every vertex has outdegree at most 3 and such an orientation can be found in linear time [10]; this means that for a planar graph,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2020.07.013 0304-3975/© 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.



<sup>\*</sup> A preliminary version of this paper appeared in Proceedings of ISCO 2018, Vol. 10856 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer, 2018, pp. 52–63. \* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: asahiro@is.kyusan-u.ac.jp (Y. Asahiro), jesper.jansson@polyu.edu.hk (J. Jansson), miyano@ces.kyutech.ac.jp (E. Miyano), hnikpey@ce.sharif.edu (H. Nikpey), ono@i.nagoya-u.ac.jp (H. Ono).

Table 1

Overview of the computational complexity of *p*-Split-MMO. Note that in the edge-weighted case, the edge weights are included in the input so it is possible to further classify the NP-hardness results as either weakly NP-hard or strongly NP-hard.

	Unweighted graphs	Edge-weighted graphs
Constant p	$O((n + p)^p \cdot poly(n))$ time (Section 3.1, Theorem 3)	Weakly NP-hard for wheel graphs (Section 4.1, Theorem 8) Strongly NP-hard for planar bipartite graphs (Section 4.3, Theorem 12)
Unbounded p	NP-hard (Section 3.2, Corollary 6)	Strongly NP-hard for cactus graphs (Section 4.2, Theorem 10)

after linear-time preprocessing, any adjacency query can be answered in O(1) time. As an additional example of a graph orientation problem, finding an orientation that maximizes the number of vertices with outdegree 0 is the Maximum Independent Set Problem [3], which cannot be approximated within a ratio of  $n^{\epsilon}$  for any constant  $0 \le \epsilon < 1$  in polynomial time unless P = NP [18]. Similarly, finding an orientation that minimizes the number of vertices with outdegree at least 1 is the Minimum Vertex Cover Problem and minimizing the number of vertices with outdegree at least 2 is the problem of finding a smallest subset of the vertices in *G* whose removal leaves a pseudoforest [3], both of which admit polynomial-time 2-approximation algorithms [13].

In this paper, we introduce a new variant of MMO called the *p*-Split Minimum Maximum Outdegree Problem (*p*-Split-MMO), where *p* is a specified non-negative integer, and study its computational complexity. Here, one is allowed to perform a sequence of *p* split operations on the vertices before orienting the edges. When thinking of MMO as a load balancing problem, the split operation can be interpreted as a way to alleviate the burden on the existing machines by adding an extra machine.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives the formal definition of *p*-Split-MMO. In Section 3, we show the obtained results on unweighted graphs: Section 3.1 presents an  $O((n + p)^p \cdot poly(n))$ -time algorithm for the unweighted case of the problem, where *n* is the number of vertices in the input graph, while Section 3.2 proves that if *p* is unbounded then the problem becomes NP-hard even in the unweighted case. Section 4 shows the intractability on the edge-weighted case: Section 4.1 shows that *p*-Split-MMO on edge-weighted wheel graphs is weakly NP-hard even if restricted to *p* = 1. As another graph class, we show strong NP-hardness of *p*-Split-MMO on edge-weighted planar bipartite graphs is also strong NP-hard even with *p* = 1. See Table 1 for a summary of the new results.

# 2. Definitions

Let G = (V, E, w) be an undirected, edge-weighted graph with vertex set V, edge set E, and edge weights defined by the function  $w : E \to \mathbb{Z}^+$ . An *orientation*  $\Lambda$  of G is an assignment of a direction to every edge  $\{u, v\} \in E$ , i.e.,  $\Lambda(\{u, v\})$  is either (u, v) or (v, u). For any orientation  $\Lambda$  of G, the *weighted outdegree* of a vertex u is

$$d^+_{\Lambda}(u) = \sum_{\substack{\{u,v\}\in E:\\\Lambda(\{u,v\})=(u,v)}} w(\{u,v\})$$

and the *cost* of  $\Lambda$  is

$$c(\Lambda) = \max_{u \in V} \{ d_{\Lambda}^+(u) \}.$$

Let MMO be the following optimization problem, previously studied in [5,8–10,17].

The Minimum Maximum Outdegree Problem (MMO):

Given an undirected, edge-weighted graph G = (V, E, w), where V, E, and w denote the set of vertices of G, the set of edges of G, and an edge-weight function  $w : E \to \mathbb{Z}^+$ , output an orientation  $\Lambda$  of G with minimum cost.

Next, for any  $v \in V$ , the set of vertices in V that are neighbors of v is denoted by  $\Gamma[v]$  and the set of edges incident to v is denoted by E[v]. A *split operation* on a vertex  $v_i$  in G is an operation that transforms: (i) the vertex set of G to  $(V \setminus v_i) \cup \{v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}\}$ , where  $v_{i,1}$  and  $v_{i,2}$  are two new vertices; and (ii) the edge set of G to  $(E \setminus E[v_i]) \cup \{\{v_{i,1}, s\} : s \in S\} \cup \{\{v_{i,2}, s'\} : s' \in \Gamma[v_i] \setminus S\}$  for some subset  $S \subseteq \Gamma[v_i]$ . For any non-negative integer p, a p-split on G is a sequence of p split operations successively applied to G. Note that in a p-split, a new vertex resulting from a split operation may in turn be the target of a later split operation.

The problem that we study in this paper generalizes MMO above and is defined as follows for any non-negative integer p.



**Fig. 1.** Consider the instance of 1-Split-MMO on the left (here, all edge weights are 1). If the split operation is applied to the vertex x as shown in the middle figure, the resulting instance of MMO can be oriented with maximum outdegree equal to 1, so this is an optimal solution. Observe that if the vertex y had been split instead, the minimum maximum outdegree would have been 2. This shows that greedily applying the split operations to the highest degree nodes will not necessarily yield an optimal solution.

The *p*-Split Minimum Maximum Outdegree Problem (*p*-Split-MMO):

Given an undirected, edge-weighted graph G = (V, E, w), where V, E, and w denote the set of vertices of G, the set of edges of G, and an edge-weight function  $w : E \to \mathbb{Z}^+$ , output a graph G' and an orientation  $\Lambda'$  of G' such that: (i) G' is obtained by a p-split on G; (ii)  $\Lambda'$  has minimum cost among all orientations of all graphs obtainable by a p-split on G.

See Fig. 1 for an example. Throughout the paper, we denote the number of vertices and edges in the input graph *G* by *n* and *m*, respectively. Any orientation of a graph *G'*, where *G'* can be obtained by applying a *p*-split to *G*, will be referred to as a *p*-split orientation of *G*. The decision version of *p*-Split-MMO, denoted by *p*-Split-MMO(*W*), asks whether or not the input graph *G* has a *p*-split orientation  $\Lambda'$  with  $c(\Lambda') \leq W$  for a specified integer *W*. An algorithm ALG is called a  $\sigma$ -approximation algorithm if  $\frac{ALG(G)}{OPT(G)} \leq \sigma$  for every input graph *G*, where ALG(G) and OPT(G) are the costs of the orientations obtained by ALG and an optimal algorithm. Then, we say that it is NP-hard to approximate within a factor of  $\sigma$  when there is no polynomial-time  $\sigma$ -approximation algorithm unless P = NP.

#### 3. Unweighted graphs

## 3.1. An algorithm for unweighted graphs

This section presents an algorithm for *p*-Split-MMO on graphs with unweighted edges (equivalently, where all edge weights are equal to 1). Its time complexity is  $O((n + p)^p \cdot poly(n))$ , which is polynomial when p = O(1).

Our basic strategy is to transform *p*-Split-MMO to the maximum flow problem on directed networks with edge capacities: (i) We first select an integer *W* as an upper bound on the cost of a *p*-split orientation. (ii) Next, we construct a flow network  $\mathcal{N}$  based on the input graph *G* and the integer *W*. (iii) By computing a maximum network flow in  $\mathcal{N}$ , we solve *p*-Split-MMO(*W*), i.e., determine whether *p*-Split-MMO(*W*) admits a feasible solution or not. (iv) By refining *W* according to a binary search while repeating steps (ii) and (iii), we find the minimum possible value of *W* and retrieve an optimal *p*-split orientation of *G* from the corresponding flow network.

We now describe the details. (Refer to Fig. 2 for an example of the construction.) Let G = (V, E) be the input graph and p any non-negative integer. For any positive integer W and multisubset S of V (i.e., a subset of V in which repetitions are allowed) of cardinality p, define the following flow network  $\mathcal{N}_{W,S} = (V_{\mathcal{N}}, E_{\mathcal{N}})$ :

$$V_{\mathcal{N}} = V \cup E \cup \{s, t\}$$
  
$$E_{\mathcal{N}} = \bigcup_{e = \{u, v\} \in E} \{(s, e), (e, u), (e, v)\} \cup \bigcup_{v \in V} \{(v, t)\}$$

where *s* and *t* are newly created vertices. Note that  $|V_N| = n + m + 2$  and  $|E_N| = n + 3m$ . The capacity cap(u, v) of each edge  $(u, v) \in E_N$  is set to:

- cap(s, e) = 1 for every  $e \in E$ ;
- cap(e, u) = cap(e, v) = 1 for every  $e = \{u, v\} \in E$ ; and
- $cap(v, t) = W + W \cdot occ(v)$  for every  $v \in V$ , where occ(v) is defined as the number of occurrences of v in S.

Consider any maximum flow in  $\mathcal{N}_{W,S}$ . Since the edge capacities are integers, we can assume that the maximum flow is integral by the integrality theorem (see, e.g., [11]). Then we have:

**Lemma 1.** The maximum directed flow from vertex s to vertex t in  $\mathcal{N}_{W,S}$  equals |E| if and only if G has a p-split orientation with cost at most W obtained after doing occ(v) split operations on each  $v \in V$ .



**Fig. 2.** (a) An input graph *G* and (b) the flow network  $\mathcal{N}_{W,S}$  constructed from *G* when p = 3, W = 2, and  $S = \{v_2, v_5, v_5\}$ . For clarity, only edge capacities in  $\mathcal{N}_{W,S}$  greater than 1 are displayed.

**Proof.**  $(\Rightarrow)$  Let *F* be a maximum directed flow from *s* to *t* with integer values and assume it is equal to |E|. Since there are |E| units of flows leaving *s* in *F*, exactly one edge among (e, u) and (e, v) for every  $e = \{u, v\} \in E$  has one unit of flow in  $\mathcal{N}_{W,S}$ . We construct a *p*-split orientation  $\Lambda$  of *G* by first orienting each edge  $e = \{u, v\} \in E$  as (u, v) if (e, u) is using one unit of flow in *F* and (e, v) is using zero units of flow in *F*, or as (v, u) otherwise. At this point, each vertex  $v \in V$  has outdegree at most  $W + W \cdot occ(v)$  because there are at most this many units of flow entering *v* in  $\mathcal{N}_{W,S}$ . Next, for each  $v \in V$ , do occ(v) split operations on *v* and distribute its outgoing edges evenly among each *v* and its resulting new vertices so that every vertex has outdegree at most *W*. Since  $\sum_{v \in V} occ(v) = p$ , the resulting  $\Lambda$  is a *p*-split orientation of *G* with cost at most *W*.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose there is a *p*-split orientation  $\Lambda$  of *G* with cost at most *W* obtained by doing occ(v) split operations on each  $v \in V$ . Then we can construct a flow in  $\mathcal{N}_{W,S}$  that has |E| units of flow by using: (i) all |E| edges of the form (e, u) where  $e = \{u, v\} \in E$  (either (e, u) or (e, v) depending on if  $\{u, v\}$  was oriented as (u, v) or (v, u)); and (iii) at most |V| edges of the form (v, t). The correctness of the construction follows from the observations listed below.

- For (i), using |E| edges of the form (*s*, *e*) corresponds to the assumption that every edge of *G* is oriented in  $\Lambda$ . Then a unit of flow passes through each edge of this form, by which we have |E| units of flow from *s* to *t* in  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{S}}$ .
- For (ii), since every edge of *G* is oriented in  $\Lambda$ , we can determine which of the edges (e, u) or (e, v) is used for the unit flow entering *e* in  $\mathcal{N}_{W,S}$ . Note that only one of (e, u) and (e, v) is used and hence only |E| edges of this form are used for the flow.
- For (iii), occ(v) split operations are applied to each vertex  $v \in V$  in  $\Lambda$ , so that 1 + occ(v) vertices originating from v exist in the resulting directed graph. Then each of these vertices has outdegree at most W for  $\Lambda$ . If we merge these 1 + occ(v) vertices into one vertex (the original v), then the total amount of edges outgoing from it is at most  $W + W \cdot occ(v)$ . This implies that for each  $v \in V$  at most  $W + W \cdot occ(v)$  units of flow enters v in  $\mathcal{N}_{W,S}$ . Since  $W + W \cdot occ(v)$  is the capacity limit of its outgoing edge (v, t), we can use (v, t) for the whole flow entering v. Note that the outdegree of a vertex can be zero in  $\Lambda$ , and so the number of edges of this form used for the flow may be less than V.

This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

The next lemma describes the proposed algorithm.

**Lemma 2.** *p*-Split-MMO can be solved in  $O((n + p)^p \cdot n^2 \cdot T(|V_N|, |E_N|) \cdot \log n)$  time, where  $T(|V_N|, |E_N|)$  is the running time for solving the maximum network flow problem on a directed graph with vertex set  $V_N$  and edge set  $E_N$ .

**Proof.** For any candidate value of W, we can identify a p-split orientation of G with cost at most W or determine that none exists, by evaluating every multisubset S of V of cardinality p, constructing  $\mathcal{N}_{W,S}$ , computing a maximum directed flow in  $\mathcal{N}_{W,S}$ , and applying Lemma 1. The number of multisubsets is at most  $\binom{n-1+p}{p} = O((n+p)^p)$ , constructing each  $\mathcal{N}_{W,S}$  takes  $O(n+m) = O(n^2)$  time, and each maximum network flow instance is solved in  $T(|V_{\mathcal{N}}|, |E_{\mathcal{N}}|)$  time.

Since the graph *G* is unweighted, *W* is upper-bounded by the maximum degree of a vertex. Therefore, applying binary search to obtain the minimum possible value of *W* (i.e., the smallest *W* for which the maximum flow is still |E| for some multisubset *S* of *V*) increases the running time by a factor of  $O(\log n)$ . The total time complexity is  $O((n + p)^p \cdot n^2 \cdot T(|V_N|, |E_N|) \cdot \log n)$ .  $\Box$ 



**Fig. 3.** Illustrating the reduction from VC(k) to *p*-Split-MMO(3). (a) An instance of VC(k) with four vertices and five edges. (b) The instance of *p*-Split-MMO(3) constructed from (a).

Since  $|V_{\mathcal{N}}| = O(m)$  and  $|E_{\mathcal{N}}| = O(m)$ , plugging in  $T(|V_{\mathcal{N}}|, |E_{\mathcal{N}}|) = O(m^2)$  (see [16]) yields:

**Theorem 3.** *p*-Split-MMO for unweighted graphs can be solved in O  $((n + p)^p \cdot n^2 m^2 \log n)$  *time.* 

## 3.2. Intractability for unbounded p

We now prove the NP-hardness of *p*-Split-MMO for unbounded *p*, even when restricted to unweighted graphs. Recall that p-Split-MMO(W) is the decision version of *p*-Split-MMO which asks if *G* has a *p*-split orientation of cost at most *W*. The main result of this section is:

**Theorem 4.** *p*-Split-MMO(3) for unweighted graphs and unbounded *p* is NP-complete.

**Proof.** p-Split-MMO(3) is in NP because a nondeterministic algorithm can guess a p-split of G and an orientation of the resulting graph in polynomial time and check if this orientation has cost at most 3.

To prove the NP-hardness, we give a polynomial-time reduction from the decision version of the Minimum Vertex Cover Problem, VC(k), defined as: Given an undirected graph G = (V, E) and a positive integer k, determine if there is a subset  $V' \subseteq V$  with  $|V'| \leq k$  such that for each  $\{u, v\} \in E$ , at least one of u and v belongs to V'. It is known that VC(k) remains NP-complete even if restricted to graphs of degree at most three [14].

The reduction is as follows. (See Fig. 3 for an example.) Suppose we are given an instance G = (V, E) of VC(k), where G has degree at most three. Write  $V = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$  and  $E = \{e_1, e_2, ..., e_m\}$ . We construct an instance G' of p-Split-MMO(3) by defining: (i) a set  $U = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_n\}$  of n vertices, where each  $u_i$  corresponds to  $v_i \in V$ ; and (ii) a set  $W = \{w_1, w_2, ..., w_m\}$  of m vertices, where each  $w_j$  corresponds to  $e_j \in E$ . In addition, we prepare: (iii) n + m complete graphs with six vertices each, denoted by  $G_1^V$  through  $G_n^V$  and  $G_1^E$  through  $G_m^E$ . Let  $V(G_i^V) = \{u_{i,1}, u_{i,2}, ..., u_{i,6}\}$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  and  $V(G_j^E) = \{w_{j,1}, w_{j,2}, ..., w_{j,6}\}$  for each  $j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ . The vertex set of G' is thus  $U \cup W \cup V(G_1^V) \cup V(G_2^V) \cup \cdots \cup V(G_n^V) \cup V(G_2^E) \cup \cdots \cup V(G_m^E)$ . Next, insert the following edges into the edge set of G' (which already includes the edges of  $G_1^V$  through  $G_n^V$  and  $G_1^E$  through  $G_m^E$ ): (iv) edges  $\{u_h, w_j\}$  and  $\{u_i, w_j\}$  if  $e_j = \{v_h, v_i\} \in E$  for each  $j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ ; (v) an edge  $\{u_i, u_{i,h}\}$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  and each  $h \in \{1, 2, ..., 6\}$ ; and (vi) an edge  $\{w_j, w_{j,h}\}$  for each  $j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$  and each  $h \in \{1, 2, ..., 5\}$ . Note that each  $u_i$  in G' has degree equal to (6 + the degree of  $v_i$  in G) and every  $w_j$  in G' has degree 7. Finally, we set p = k. This completes the reduction.

Next, we show that G has a vertex cover with size at most p if and only if G' has a p-split orientation whose cost is at most three.

(⇒) Suppose that *G* has a vertex cover *C* of size *p*. Let  $C' \subseteq U$  be the *p* vertices in *G'* that correspond to vertices in *C*. Apply a split operation on each  $u_i \in C'$  to transform it into a pair of vertices  $u_i$  and  $u_i^*$ , the first one  $(u_i)$  being adjacent to all six vertices from  $G_i^V$  and the second one  $(u_i^*)$  being adjacent to the at most three neighbors from *W*. Let *G''* be the resulting graph. By definition, *G''* is obtained by applying a *p*-split to *G'* and we will now show that *G''* admits an orientation of cost three.

First, every  $G_i^V$  forms a  $K_7$  (a complete graph with seven vertices) together with  $u_i$  in G''. Orient each such  $K_7$  so that all of its vertices have outdegree three, e.g., by applying Proposition 2 in [4]. Secondly, orient the (at most three) edges incident to each  $u_i^*$ -vertex away from  $u_i^*$ . Since *C* is a vertex cover, every  $w_j$ -vertex in G' will be incident to at most one unoriented edge of the form  $\{u_i, w_j\}$  after this step is done. Next, for each  $w_j$ , if there is one unoriented edge of the form  $\{u_i, w_j\}$  then orient it away from  $w_j$ . Finally, every  $w_j$  and  $G_j^E$  form a  $K_7$  with one edge incident to  $w_j$  missing; orient this subgraph as above, but let  $w_j$  have one less outgoing edge than the other vertices so that the outdegree of each such vertex is at most three. This yields an orientation of G'' of cost three.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose *G'* has a *p*-split orientation of cost at most three. If some vertex  $u_{i,h}$  in  $G_i^V$  was split then we obtain another *p*-split orientation of cost at most three by not splitting  $u_{i,h}$  but splitting  $u_i$  instead and orienting the edges of the resulting  $K_7$  as described above, and similarly for vertices in  $G_j^E$ . We may therefore assume that every vertex that is split comes from  $U \cup W$ . Next, if some vertex  $w_j$  in *W* is split and it has an incident  $u_i$ -vertex that is not split then we replace the split operation on  $w_j$  by a split operation on  $u_i$ ; by doing so and orienting the edge between  $u_i$  and  $w_j$  towards  $w_j$ , the cost of the orientation will not increase. This produces a *p*-split orientation of *G'* in which every vertex from *W* is incident to at least one vertex from the set of (at most *p*) vertices from *U* that were split, which then gives a vertex cover of *G* of size at most *p*.  $\Box$ 

The above proof also gives an inapproximability result for *p*-Split-MMO:

**Corollary 5.** For any constant  $\varepsilon > 0$ , it is NP-hard to approximate p-Split-MMO to within a factor of  $\frac{4}{3} - \varepsilon$ , even for unweighted graphs.

**Proof.** In the reduction in the proof of Theorem 4, there always exists a *p*-split orientation  $\Lambda'$  of G' satisfying  $c(\Lambda') \leq 4$ , as can be seen by ignoring all available split operations and just orienting the at most two edges of the form  $\{u_i, w_j\}$  for each  $w_j$  away from  $w_j$  and all other edges as in the first part of the proof of Theorem 4. Since there exists a *p*-split orientation  $\Lambda'$  with  $c(\Lambda') \leq 3$  if and only if the given instance of VC(*k*) has a vertex cover with size at most *k*, the above reduction is a gap-introducing one, i.e., if there existed a polynomial-time  $(\frac{4}{3} - \varepsilon)$ -approximation algorithm for *p*-split-MMO(3), then VC(*k*) could be solved in polynomial time.  $\Box$ 

A similar reduction as in the proof of Theorem 4 also gives the following corollary. Instead of attaching complete graphs of size six to the  $u_i$ - and  $w_j$ -vertices as in the proof of Theorem 4, we attach complete graphs of size 2W. Furthermore, in the construction, we prepare 2W edges of type (v) between each  $u_i$ -vertex and its complete graph and 2W - 1 edges of type (vi) between each  $w_j$ -vertex and its complete graph. The edges of type (iv) are defined as before. Based on these, we can show that *G* has a vertex cover with size at most *p* if and only if *G'* has a *p*-split orientation whose cost is at most *W* for every fixed integer  $W \ge 3$ .

### **Corollary 6.** For every fixed integer $W \ge 3$ , p-Split-MMO(W) for unweighted graphs and unbounded p is NP-complete.

The above theorem shows the NP-completeness for  $W \ge 3$ . So the remaining question here is about the computational complexity of *p*-Split-MMO(1) and *p*-Split-MMO(2) for unweighted graphs and unbounded *p*. We partially answer this question by explaining how to solve *p*-Split-MMO(1): First we find a pseudotree in the given input graph, then orient the edges of its cycle in one direction. Then we orient other edges in the pseudotree towards the cycle. After that, the remaining edges in the input graph are oriented arbitrarily. Finally denote the resulting orientation by  $\Lambda$  and apply  $d_{\Lambda}^+(v) - 1$  split operations to each vertex *v* in such a way that every vertex in the new graph gets exactly one outgoing edge. This gives the minimum number m - n of splits since the numbers of vertices and edges are *n* and *m*, respectively, and the target outdegree *W* is one. In fact, to solve *p*-Split-MMO(1), it is not necessary to actually construct an orientation; one can just check whether or not  $p \ge m - n$ .

**Theorem 7.** *p*-Split-MMO(1) for unweighted graphs and unbounded *p* is solvable in linear time.

The computational complexity of *p*-Split-MMO(2) is still unknown.

# 4. Edge-weighted graphs

## 4.1. Wheel graphs

In this section, we prove that *p*-Split-MMO on edge-weighted wheel graphs is weakly NP-hard. To do so, we give a polynomial-time reduction from the Partition Problem, defined as follows: Given a set  $S = \{s_1, s_2, ..., s_n\}$  of *n* positive integers, determine if there exists a subset  $S' \subseteq S$  such that  $\sum_{s_i \in S'} s_i = \sum_{s_j \in S \setminus S'} s_j$ . The Partition Problem is weakly NP-hard and admits a pseudopolynomial-time solution [14].

**Theorem 8.** For every fixed integer  $p \ge 1$ , *p*-Split-MMO on edge-weighted graphs is weakly NP-hard even if the input is restricted to wheel graphs.

**Proof.** We construct an edge-weighted, undirected wheel graph G = (V, E, w) from any given instance  $S = \{s_1, s_2, ..., s_n\}$  of the Partition Problem. Define  $K = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i/2$  and assume without loss of generality that  $s_i \le K$  for all  $s_i \in S$ . The vertex set *V* consists of: (i) *n* vertices representing the integers in *S* and denoted by  $v_1, v_2, ..., v_n$ ; (ii) auxiliary p - 1 vertices



**Fig. 4.** Let  $S = \{1, 2, 4, 5, 6\}$  be an instance of the Partition Problem. The reduction in the proof of Theorem 8 sets K = 9 and constructs the edge-weighted wheel graph *G* in the left figure. The right figure shows an optimal 4-split orientation, where the split vertices are represented as unfilled circles.

 $v_{n+1}, \ldots, v_{n+p-1}$ , where there is no vertex of this type in the case p = 1; and (iii) one special vertex, denoted by  $v_c$ . Let N = n + p - 1, i.e., |V| = N + 1. The edge set E consists of: (iv) the N edges  $\{v_1, v_2\}, \{v_2, v_3\}, \ldots, \{v_N, v_1\}$  forming a cycle; (v) the n edges  $\{v_c, v_1\}, \{v_c, v_2\}, \ldots, \{v_c, v_n\}$ ; and (vi) the p - 1 edges  $\{v_c, v_{n+1}\}, \ldots, \{v_c, v_N\}$ , where there is no edge of this type in the case of p = 1. Hence, N + 1 vertices  $v_c, v_1, \ldots, v_N$  and N edges  $\{v_c, v_1\}, \ldots, \{v_c, v_N\}$  forms a star, and so G is a wheel graph. For every edge e of type (iv), assign w(e) = K. For every edge of type (v), assign  $w(\{v_c, v_i\}) = s_i$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ . For every edge of type (vi), assign  $w(\{v_c, v_i\}) = K$  for  $n + 1 \le i \le N$ . An example is shown in Fig. 4.

Below, we show that the answer to the given instance S of the Partition Problem is yes if and only if G has a p-split orientation whose cost is at most K.

(⇒) Assume that there exists an  $S' \subseteq S$  such that  $\sum_{s_i \in S'} s_i = \sum_{s_j \in S \setminus S'} s_j$ . We repeatedly apply a split operation p times on  $v_c$  (or any of the newly constructed vertices obtained by these split operations). Let the resulting vertices  $v_{c,1}, \ldots, v_{c,p+1}$  be adjacent to the set of vertices of types (i) and (ii) as follows.

- $v_{c,1}$  is adjacent to the edges representing S',
- $v_{c,2}$  is adjacent to the edges representing  $S \setminus S'$ , and
- In the case  $p \ge 2$ ,  $v_{c,i}$  is adjacent to  $v_i$  for  $n + 1 \le i \le N$  (remind that in the case p = 1, there is no vertex of type (ii)).

For  $1 \le i \le N$ , orient every edge that involves  $v_{c,i}$  away from  $v_{c,i}$ . Orient the remaining N edges so that they form a directed cycle  $(v_1, v_2, ..., v_N, v_1)$ . This way, the weighted outdegree of every vertex is at most K.

 $(\Leftarrow)$  Let  $\Lambda$  be a *p*-split orientation of *G* of cost at most *K*. If *S* contains a single element equal to *K* then the answer to the given instance of the Partition Problem is trivially yes. On the other hand, if  $s_i < K$  for any  $s_i \in S$  then at least one split operation is applied to  $v_c$  and all the vertices obtained by applying the split operations to  $v_c$  have outdegree *K* by  $\Lambda$  from Lemma 9 which will be shown later.

Let  $v_c$  be replaced with p - q + 1 vertices  $v_{c,1}, \ldots, v_{c,p-q+1}$ . By the above, without loss of generality, q edges  $\{v_c, v_{n+1}\}, \ldots, \{v_c, v_{n+q}\}$  of weight K are assumed to be oriented towards  $v_c$ . On the other hand, the edges  $\{v_c, v_{n+q+1}\}, \ldots, \{v_c, v_{n+p-1}\}$  of weight K and every edge of the form  $\{v_c, v_j\}$  of weight  $s_j$  for  $1 \le j \le n$  is oriented away from  $v_{c,i}$ . Since the cost of  $\Lambda$  is at most K, p - 1 - q vertices, say,  $v_{c,3}, \ldots, v_{c,p-q+1}$  are used to orient those p - 1 - q edges of weight K. Then, since the sum of the remaining weights of edges is 2K, each of  $v_{c,1}$  and  $v_{c,2}$  must have weighted outdegree exactly equal to K. Let S' be the set of weights of the edges incident to  $v_{c,1}$ . Then  $\sum_{s_i \in S'} s_i = \sum_{s_j \in S \setminus S'} s_j = K$  and the answer to the given instance of the Partition Problem is yes.  $\Box$ 

Finally, we prove Lemma 9, used in the proof of Theorem 8 above.

**Lemma 9.** Suppose that  $\Lambda$  is a p-split orientation of G of cost at most K, and  $s_i < K$  holds for any  $s_i \in S$ . At least one split operation is applied to  $v_c$ , and all the vertices obtained by applying the split operations to  $v_c$  have outdegree K by  $\Lambda$ .

**Proof.** First suppose that one split operation was applied to a vertex  $v_j$  for  $j \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$ , thereby replacing  $v_j$  by two vertices  $v_{j,1}$  and  $v_{j,2}$ . Each of the *N* edges not involving  $v_c$  has weight *K*, so at most one of the *N* + 1 vertices in  $\{v_1, v_2, ..., v_N, v_{j,1}, v_{j,2}\} \setminus \{v_j\}$  can orient its edge involving  $v_c$  towards  $v_c$ . We can consider two cases: (i) the weight of this edge is  $s_h$  for some *h*, and (ii) it is *K* (note that this case occurs only when  $p \ge 2$ ). For the case (i), the weighted outdegree of  $v_c$  is  $(p+1)K - s_h > pK$  because  $s_i < K$  for all  $s_i \in S$ . Here the remaining p-1 split operations are applied to  $v_c$  by which *p* vertices are newly created from  $v_c$ . Then, one of these *p* vertices must have outdegree greater than pK/p = K according to the pigeonhole principle, contradicting that the cost of  $\Lambda$  is at most *K*. Namely, if p = 1, the split operation must be applied to  $v_c$  so that each of the two vertices obtained by the split operation have outdegree *K*, since the total weights of those edges is 2K and  $\Lambda$  has cost *K*. For the case (ii), the weighted outdegree of  $v_c$  is (p+1)K - K = pK. Then, the remaining p - 1 > 0 split operations are applied to  $v_c$  and *p* vertices are constructed. Since the cost of  $\Lambda$  is at most *K*, all these *p* vertices must have outdegree *K* by  $\Lambda$ .

The above discussion can be generalized to the case that  $q(\ge 2)$  split operations are applied to other vertices than  $v_c$ . Note that in this case, one vertex  $v_j$  may be split into three vertices  $v_{j,1}$ ,  $v_{j,2}$ , and  $v_{j,3}$ , each of which is adjacent to one another vertex; applying more than three split operations to one vertex in  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_N\}$  is useless since a vertex will be



**Fig. 5.** An instance of the 3-Partition Problem with  $S = \{7, 7, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$  and B = 24 yields the cactus graph *G* shown above. In the construction, n = 2 and p = 2 - 1 = 1.

independent. As the above, at most q of the N vertices  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_N\}$  can orient its edge involving  $v_c$  towards  $v_c$ . If these q edges include an edge of weight  $s_h$  for some h, then the weighted outdegree of  $v_c$  is at least  $(p + 1)K - (q - 1)K - s_h > (p - q + 1)K$  since  $s_h < K$ . Then, since p - q split operations will be applied to  $v_c$ , at least one vertex of the p - q + 1 vertices constructed by these split operations must have outdegree greater than (p - q + 1)K/(p - q + 1) = K, again according to the pigeonhole principle. This again contradicts the assumption that the cost of  $\Lambda$  is at most K. Therefore, the q vertices must be chosen from  $v_{n+1}, \ldots, v_N(=v_{n+p-1})$ , from which we observe that  $q \le p - 1$ , i.e., at least one split operation is applied to  $v_c$ . Then, since the weighted outdegree of  $v_c$  is equal to (p - q + 1)K after the q split operations, and p - q + 1 vertices are constructed by p - q split operations to  $v_c$ , these vertices must have outdegree K by  $\Lambda$ .  $\Box$ 

## 4.2. Cactus graphs

In the previous section, we showed the weak NP-hardness of *p*-Split-MMO for wheel graphs with any fixed integer  $p \ge 1$ . Since the reduction was based on the weak NP-hardness of the Partition problem, we need another reduction to show the strong NP-hardness of the problem. Here, we prove that *p*-Split-MMO with weighted edges is strongly NP-hard if *p* is sufficiently large, i.e.,  $p = \Omega(n)$ , and the input is a cactus graph. This result is obtained via a polynomial-time reduction from the 3-Partition Problem: Given a multiset  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{3n}\}$  of 3n positive integers and an integer *B* such that  $B/4 < s_i < B/2$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 3n\}$  and  $\sum_{s_i \in S} s_i = n \cdot B$  hold, determine if *S* can be partitioned into *n* multisets  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n$  so that  $|S_j| = 3$  and  $\sum_{s_i \in S_j} s_i = B$  for every  $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ . The 3-Partition Problem is known to be strongly NP-hard [14].

**Theorem 10.** For an integer  $p = \Omega(n)$ , p-Split-MMO on edge-weighted graphs is strongly NP-hard even if the input is restricted to cactus graphs.

**Proof.** We construct an edge-weighted, undirected cactus graph G = (V, E, w) from any given instance (S, B) of the 3-Partition Problem, where  $S = \{s_1, s_2, ..., s_{3n}\}$ . Let p = n - 1 and recall that  $B = \sum_{i=1}^{3n} s_i / n$  by definition. *G* consists of:

- 3*n* subgraphs,  $G_1$  through  $G_{3n}$ , each of which is associated with an element in *S*. For each  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., 3n\}$ ,  $G_i$  contains three vertices  $u_i$ ,  $v_i$ , and  $w_i$  and three edges  $\{u_i, v_i\}$ ,  $\{u_i, w_i\}$ , and  $\{v_i, w_i\}$  (i.e.,  $G_i$  is a triangle graph). The weight of every edge in  $G_i$  is set to *B*.
- One special vertex v<sub>c</sub>.
- For  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., 3n\}$ , an edge  $\{v_c, v_i\}$  of weight  $s_i$  that connects  $G_i$  to  $v_c$ .

The constructed graph is a cactus graph with 9n + 1 vertices. This completes the description of the reduction. See Fig. 5 for an illustration.

Now we show that the answer to the 3-Partition Problem on input S is yes if and only if the constructed graph G has a p-split orientation of cost B.

(⇒) If the answer to the 3-Partition Problem is yes, divide the elements of *S* into *n* multisets  $S_1, S_2, ..., S_n$ , where every  $S_j$  has the sum *B* and  $|S_j| = 3$ . Then, do *p* split operations on  $v_c$  so that each of the resulting p + 1 = n vertices, called *center vertices*, becomes adjacent to exactly three vertices  $v_x, v_y$ , and  $v_z$ , where  $\{s_x, s_y, s_z\}$  is one of the  $S_j$ -sets. By orienting all 3*n* edges involving center vertices away from the center vertices, and for each  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., 3n\}$ , orienting the three edges  $\{u_i, v_i\}, \{u_i, w_i\}$ , and  $\{v_i, w_i\}$  as  $(v_i, w_i)$ ,  $(w_i, u_i)$ , and  $(u_i, v_i)$ , we obtain a *p*-split orientation of *G* of cost *B*.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Consider any *p*-split orientation  $\Lambda$  of *G* with cost *B*. Let  $\sigma$  be the total number of split operations in this *p*-split that were done on vertices in the *G*<sub>*i*</sub>-subgraphs.

First, we show by contradiction that  $\sigma = 0$ . Suppose  $\sigma \ge 1$ . If we start from *G* and apply a sequence of  $p - \sigma$  split operations to  $v_c$  and the new vertices created by these operations,  $v_c$  will be replaced by a set of  $p - \sigma + 1 = n - \sigma$  vertices, henceforth denoted by *C*. Call the 3*n* edges that contain a vertex from *C* center edges. Due to the weights of the edges in each  $G_i$ -subgraph, if no split operations are done on  $u_i$ ,  $v_i$ , or  $w_i$  then the center edge between  $v_i$  and *C* must be oriented away from *C*, but each split operation applied to a vertex of the form  $u_i$ ,  $v_i$ , or  $w_i$  will allow at most one center edge to become oriented towards *C*. Let *W* be the sum of the weights of the center edges that were oriented away from *C* in  $\Lambda$ . By definition, the weight of every center edge is less than  $\frac{B}{2}$ , so  $W > n \cdot B - \sigma \cdot \frac{B}{2}$ . According to the pigeonhole principle, at least one vertex in *C* must have weighted outdegree at least  $W/(n - \sigma)$ . However,  $W/(n - \sigma) > (n \cdot B - \sigma \cdot \frac{B}{2})/(n - \sigma) = B$ , which is a contradiction because the cost of the *p*-split orientation  $\Lambda$  was *B*. Thus,  $\sigma = 0$  and |C| = p + 1 = n.

Next, note that if a vertex x in C was connected to four or more  $v_i$ -vertices then the weighted outdegree of x would be strictly larger than B. Since no vertex in  $G_i$ -subgraphs is split and the cost of the *p*-split orientation  $\Lambda$  is B, the edges in every  $G_i$  must be oriented in such a way as to form a directed cycle. This implies that the four or more edges connected to x must be oriented away from x. Each of these edges has weight strictly larger than  $\frac{B}{4}$ , the weighted outdegree of x would be strictly larger than B, which contradicts the assumption that  $\Lambda$  has cost B.

Finally, since each of the *n* vertices in *C* can be connected to at most three  $v_i$ -vertices and there are  $3n v_i$ -vertices in total, it must be connected to exactly three  $v_i$ -vertices and its weighted outdegree is *B*. Letting the weights of the edges of each such vertex form one  $S_i$ -set then gives a partition of *S* showing that the answer to the 3-Partition Problem is yes.  $\Box$ 

We can generalize the above proof to the case p is larger. Let q = p - (n - 1). We add q subgraphs  $G_{3n+1}$  through  $G_{3n+q}$ in addition to the center vertex  $v_c$ , the subgraphs  $G_1$  through  $G_{3n}$ , and their edges constructed in the above proof. For each  $i \in \{1, ..., q\}$ ,  $G_{3n+i}$  contains three vertices  $u_i$ ,  $v_i$ , and  $w_i$  and three edges  $\{u_i, v_i\}$ ,  $\{u_i, w_i\}$ , and  $\{v_i, w_i\}$  similar to the subgraphs  $G_1$  though  $G_{3n}$ . The weight of every edge in  $G_{3n+i}$  is also set to B. Then we add an edge  $\{v_c, v_{3n+i}\}$  for each  $i \in \{1, ..., q\}$  of weight B that connects  $G_{3n+i}$  to  $v_c$ . The resulted graph has 3p + 9n - 2 vertices. For this new graph and its optimal p-split, we observe that one split must be applied to  $G_{3n+i}$  or  $v_c$  for each  $i \in \{1, ..., q\}$  in order to detach the weight B of the edge  $\{v_c, v_{3n+i}\}$  from  $v_c$ : If we apply a split to  $G_{3n+i}$ , then the edge  $\{v_c, v_{3n+i}\}$  is oriented towards  $v_c$ , otherwise it is oriented as  $(v'_c, v_{3n+i})$ , where  $v'_c$  is the vertex newly created from  $v_c$ . Then, the remaining p - q splits are applied to the center vertex  $v_c$ . Based on this observation, we can show that the answer to the 3-Partition Problem on input S is yes if and only if the constructed graph has a p-split orientation of cost B. Thus we have the following corollary:

**Corollary 11.** For an integer  $\frac{n}{9} \le p < \frac{n}{3}$ , *p*-Split-MMO on edge-weighted graphs is strongly NP-hard even if the input is restricted to cactus graphs.

#### 4.3. Planar bipartite graphs

In the previous section, we showed the strong NP-hardness of *p*-Split-MMO for cactus graphs when  $p = \Omega(n)$ . This section gives a simple proof showing that *p*-Split-MMO on planar bipartite graphs is strongly NP-hard for any fixed integer  $p \ge 1$ . The next theorem is obtained based on the strong NP-hardness of MMO for planar bipartite graphs [6].

**Theorem 12.** For any fixed integer  $p \ge 1$ , p-Split-MMO on edge-weighted graphs is strongly NP-hard even if the input is restricted to planar bipartite graphs.

**Proof.** As a reduction from the input planar bipartite graph *G* of MMO to *p*-Split-MMO, we make p + 1 copies of *G*, denoted by  $G_1, \ldots, G_{p+1}$ . Pick one arbitrary vertex *v* in the boundary of the outer face of *G*. Let the vertices corresponding to *v* in  $G_1, \ldots, G_{p+1}$  be  $v_1, \ldots, v_{p+1}$ . We create new vertices  $u_i$ 's for  $1 \le i \le p$ , and then insert two edges  $\{v_i, u_i\}$  and  $\{u_i, v_{i+1}\}$  for  $1 \le i \le p$ . This completes the reduction and the resulting graph *G'* is clearly planar and bipartite.

The number of split operations we can apply to G' is p. Hence, for a subgraph in G' corresponding to, say,  $G_1$ , we cannot apply any split operation. This means that we need to solve MMO for  $G_1$  which has the same structure as G, in order to solve p-Split-MMO. Hence the optimal cost of MMO for G is k if and only if the constructed graph G' has a p-split orientation of cost k. Therefore, p-Split-MMO is also strongly NP-hard even for planar bipartite graphs.  $\Box$ 

The proof of Theorem 12 along with the inapproximability bound 1.5 for MMO on edge-weighted planar bipartite graphs in [6] directly gives:

**Corollary 13.** For any fixed integer  $p \ge 1$ , *p*-Split-MMO cannot be approximated within a ratio of 1.5 in polynomial time for planar bipartite graphs unless P = NP.

## 5. Concluding remarks

This paper introduced the *p*-Split-MMO problem and presented a maximum flow-based algorithm for the unweighted case that runs in polynomial time for any constant *p*, and proved the NP-hardness of more general problem variants. Future

work includes developing polynomial-time approximation algorithms and fixed-parameter tractable algorithms for the NPhard variants. For example, we only showed a  $(4/3 - \varepsilon)$ -inapproximability of the unweighted case with unbounded p in this paper. So, one could try to design polynomial-time approximation algorithms for this case.

Another problem is to find the minimum number of split operations that have to be applied to an input unweighted graph so that the resulting graph admits an orientation with maximum outdegree at most W, where W is a fixed integer. As seen in the discussion for Theorem 7, this variant is solvable in polynomial time if W = 1. Moreover, for every fixed  $W \ge 3$ , the problem is APX-hard by Corollary 6 and the APX-hardness of the Minimum Vertex Cover Problem on graphs of degree at most three [1]. However, for W = 2, the computational complexity is unknown.

Also, it would be interesting to study how the computational complexity of *p*-Split-MMO changes if the output orientation is required to be *acyclic* or *strongly connected*. Borradaile *et al.* [8] recently showed that unweighted MMO with either the acyclicity constraint or the strongly connectedness constraint added remains solvable in polynomial time. In contrast, the closely related problem of outputting a *minimum lexicographic orientation* of an input graph, which is solvable in polynomial time for unconstrained orientations, becomes NP-hard for acyclic orientations [8] while its computational complexity for strongly connected orientations is still unknown.

### **Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank an anonymous reviewer of the 5th International Symposium on Combinatorial Optimization (ISCO2018) for providing a simple idea that led to Theorem 12, and also thank Richard Lemence and Edelia Braga for some discussions related to the topic of this paper. This work was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP17K00016 and JP17K00024, JST CREST JPMJR1402, and PolyU Fund 1-ZE8L.

#### References

- [1] P. Alimonti, V. Kann, Some APX-completeness results for cubic graphs, Theor. Comput. Sci. 237 (1) (2000) 123-134.
- [2] Y. Asahiro, J. Jansson, E. Miyano, H. Ono, Graph orientation to maximize the minimum weighted outdegree, Int. J. Found. Comput. Sci. 22 (3) (2011) 583–601.
- [3] Y. Asahiro, J. Jansson, E. Miyano, H. Ono, Graph orientations optimizing the number of light or heavy vertices, J. Graph Algorithms Appl. 19 (1) (2015) 441–465.
- [4] Y. Asahiro, J. Jansson, E. Miyano, H. Ono, Degree-constrained graph orientation: maximum satisfaction and minimum violation, Theory Comput. Syst. 58 (1) (2016) 60–93.
- [5] Y. Asahiro, J. Jansson, E. Miyano, H. Ono, K. Zenmyo, Approximation algorithms for the graph orientation minimizing the maximum weighted outdegree, J. Comb. Optim. 22 (1) (2011) 78–96.
- [6] Y. Asahiro, E. Miyano, H. Ono, Graph classes and the complexity of the graph orientation minimizing the maximum weighted outdegree, Discrete Appl. Math. 159 (7) (2011) 498–508.
- [7] Y. Asahiro, J. Jansson, E. Miyano, H. Ono, S. T.P., Graph orientation with edge modifications, in: Proceedings of FAW 2019, in: Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 11458, Springer, 2019, pp. 38–50.
- [8] G. Borradaile, J. Iglesias, T. Migler, A. Ochoa, G. Wilfong, L. Zhang, Egalitarian graph orientations, J. Graph Algorithms Appl. 21 (4) (2017) 687-708.
- [9] G.S. Brodal, R. Fagerberg, Dynamic representations of sparse graphs, in: Proceedings of WADS 1999, in: Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 1663, Springer, 1999, pp. 342–351.
- [10] M. Chrobak, D. Eppstein, Planar orientations with low out-degree and compaction of adjacency matrices, Theor. Comput. Sci. 86 (2) (1991) 243-266.
- [11] T. Cormen, C. Leiserson, R. Rivest, Introduction to Algorithms, The MIT Press, Massachusetts, 1990.
- [12] R.W. Deming, Acyclic orientations of a graph and chromatic and independence numbers, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B 26 (1979) 101-110.
- [13] T. Fujito, A unified approximation algorithm for node-deletion problems, Discrete Appl. Math. 86 (2-3) (1998) 213-231.
- [14] M. Garey, D. Johnson, Computers and Intractability a Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness, W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1979.
- [15] K. Khoshkhah, On finding orientations with the fewest number of vertices with small out-degree, Discrete Appl. Math. 194 (2015) 163–166.
- [16] J.B. Orlin, Max flows in O(nm) time, or better, in: Proceedings of STOC 2013, ACM, 2013, pp. 765–774.
- [17] V. Venkateswaran, Minimizing maximum indegree, Discrete Appl. Math. 143 (1-3) (2004) 374-378.
- [18] D. Zuckerman, Linear degree extractors and the inapproximability of Max Clique and Chromatic Number, Theory Comput. 3 (1) (2007) 103–128.